

I, Sabine Winton which contains 5 signatures.

the State of Western Australia, am the promoter this petition

PETITION IN RELATION TO [SUBJECT MATTER]

To the President and Members of the Legislative Council of the Parliament of Western Australia in Parliament assembled.

We the undersigned residents of Western Australia urgently seek a review to establish how Lot 1,(2350) Wanneroo Rd, Nowergup(WA Limestone) and Lot 52 Nowergup Rd(Lime Industries) were identified and listed as a priority resource location in the State Planning Policy 2.4 Basic Raw Materials.

The City of Wanneroo and the Department of Planning has failed to show how these sites came to be given such designation given that there is no evidence to show that the due and proper process of consultation was followed in order to be granted such status.

The mapping was compiled from then current extractive industry tenements and licences. It has not been established that the Inventory for Extractive Industry Operations for the City of Wanneroo was updated as required to ensure only tenements with existing and approved licences were included. Furthermore the Resource Protection Working Plans in SPP2.4 include an "Environmental and Conservation Reference Chart" which is to assist in identifying possible environmental and conservation constraints to the extraction of basic raw materials. These have not been updated since 2002 and do not include Neerabup National Park, Lake Nowergup Fauna Sanctuary or Bushforever Site 383.

The Nowergup Valley locality is facing continuing threats from extractive industry applications west of Gibbs Rd that are clearly not appropriate and are incompatible with current rural land uses, including tourism, rural residential, small businesses, nor with the future planning of the area as recommended by the Future of East Wanneroo 2007 prepared by the Western Australian Planning Commission.

Your petitioners therefore respectfully request the Legislative Council initiate an inquiry into how these two specific sites were listed for inclusion in the SPP2.4 and to investigate the lawfulness of their listing. It is unacceptable for development applications to be considered and approved whilst our concerns remain unanswered. And your petitioners as in duty bound, will ever pray.

NAME	Address	SIGNATURE
10 x	100 00 11 11	VIII